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Overview of ESSA's Evidence Provisions

ESSA defines “evidence-based” and then applies that term 54 times, ranging from required uses (e.g., school improvement interventions) to allowable uses (e.g., class size reduction) to incentives in several competitive grants (e.g., preference points for evidence-based proposals). Furthermore, ESSA includes 37 other provisions that have the potential to promote better use of evidence, data, and evaluation (e.g., Pay for Success; evaluation funds).
Agenda

1. **Definition** of “evidence-based” in ESSA
2. Evidence-based **provisions** in ESSA
3. **Opportunities** and **challenges** for states
   - Capacity
   - Culture

➢ Guiding question should be “How can evidence help us improve student outcomes?”
   - Not: “How can we comply with ESSA’s evidence provisions?”
Unpacking the Definition of “Evidence-Based” in ESSA: Overview

ESSA's definition of “evidence-based” includes 4 levels of evidence. Together, they create a framework to develop an increasingly rigorous evidence base.

(1) Strong
(2) Moderate
(3) Promising
(4) “Under Evaluation”

Although there are areas already supported by a robust body of evidence, there are others where the field is still testing new ideas.

ESSA's different levels of evidence acknowledge this important variation.
Unpacking the **Definition** of “Evidence-Based” in ESSA: *Levels 1-3*

The top 3 levels require findings of a **statistically significant effect** on improving student outcomes or other relevant outcomes based on:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level</th>
<th>Requirement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>(1) Strong</strong></td>
<td>At least 1 well-designed and well-implemented <em>experimental</em> study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>(2) Moderate</strong></td>
<td>At least 1 well-designed and well-implemented <em>quasi-experimental</em> study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>(3) Promising</strong></td>
<td>At least 1 well-designed and well-implemented <em>correlational</em> study with statistical controls for selection bias</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Issues to Consider**

- ESSA does not define all the terms in its definition of “evidence-based” (e.g., “well-implemented,” “quasi-experimental,” or “correlational”).
- States and districts may consider other aspects of evidence beyond what is in the definition (e.g., effect size, sample size, site(s), absence of conflicting evidence, and cost-effectiveness).
Unpacking the Definition of “Evidence-Based” in ESSA: Level 4

The 4th level of evidence in the definition is designed for ideas that do not yet have an evidence base qualifying for the top 3 levels. Given the second requirement, to examine the effects of these ideas, this evidence-building level can thus be referred to as “under evaluation.”

(4) Under Evaluation

- **Demonstrates a rationale** based on high-quality research or positive evaluation that such activity, strategy, or intervention is likely to improve student outcomes
- **Includes ongoing efforts to examine the effects** of such activity, strategy, or intervention

**Issues to Consider**

- ESSA does not define all the terms in its definition of “evidence-based” (e.g., “high-quality research,” “is likely to,” or “ongoing efforts to examine the effects”).
- States must decide if they will define these terms, and if so, how high to set the bar on both requirements included in the 4th level of evidence.
Unpacking the Evidence Provisions in ESSA

ESSA applies its “evidence-based” definition in different ways across 54 provisions. These evidence provisions fall along a continuum from required uses of evidence to allowed uses to incentivized uses.
Unpacking the Evidence Provisions in ESSA

Required Uses (Title I)

- All Title I school improvement plans (comprehensive and targeted) must include evidence-based interventions, aligned with results of schools' needs assessments. Schools receiving Section 1003 funds (i.e., Title I 7% set-aside) must include interventions in the top 3 levels of the definition.

- Title I parent & family engagement provisions also require evidence-based strategies
  - All 4 levels of evidence apply
  - Requirement applies after annual evaluation of current strategies, so implementation timeline may differ across localities
States and districts choose how they will spend their federal formula funds, but under ESSA many key allowable uses must be evidence-based. For example, district-funded professional development; class size reductions; Pay for Success initiatives. Of these, most apply only if the state first determines that evidence for that use is "reasonably available." All 4 levels of evidence apply; "reasonably available" is not defined.
May Do and May Be Evidence-Based

### Competitive Grants Priority

- In 7 competitive grant programs under ESSA, evidence-based applications will receive competitive preference points
  - Top 3 levels of evidence apply
  - The 7 programs include: SEED; Statewide Family Engagement Centers; LEARN; School Leader Recruitment and Support; Full-Service Community Schools; Promise Neighborhoods; and Supporting High-Ability Learners and Learning
Opportunities in 2017 for State Educational Agencies (SEAs) and Local Educational Agencies (LEAs) to Implement Evidence Provisions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Establish a learning agenda and a vision for using evidence in state and local plans</th>
<th>Clarify the role of evidence in school improvement policies and plans</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Determine the state's approach to &quot;reasonably available&quot; determinations</td>
<td>Determine the state's approach to &quot;ongoing efforts to examine the effects&quot; of 4th level approaches</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prepare for competitive grant applications</td>
<td>Identify existing capacity (e.g., people, processes, data), gaps, and sustainability plans</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Challenges

• Capacity:
  • Limited analytic capacity and scale in most school districts means that states have an essential role to play in building infrastructure to increase evidence use

• Culture:
  • Half of state/district policymakers reported in 2016 that they “never” or “rarely” use the What Works Clearinghouse, the National Center for Education Statistics, and the Regional Educational Laboratories

➤ Consider creating efficacy networks of local education agencies committed to subjecting any major initiative to a local pilot before full-scale implementation
Audience Q&A

To submit live questions, please use the “Questions” box on the control panel.
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Michigan’s Approach to the Every Student Succeeds Act
Top 10 in 10 Years

Venessa A. Keesler, Ph.D., Deputy Superintendent
Division of Educator, Student, and School Supports
Top 10 in 10 Years

• Beginning in 2015, State Superintendent Brian Whiston worked with stakeholders across the state to identify what Michigan needs to do to be a top 10 state within 10 years. This resulted in Michigan’s Top 10 in 10 plan, which can be found here: http://www.michigan.gov/documents/mde/10_in_10_Action_Plan_543856_7.pdf

• This is not only a plan for the MDE, but for Michigan as a state in terms of our education system and opportunities for our students. The ESSA plan has been built to enact key components of this larger strategic plan

• The graphic in the next slide summarizes the key focus areas
Focus Areas
Components

Learner-Centered Supports
- Deeper Learning
- Personalized Learning
- Differentiated Supports
- Aligned Curriculum
- Feedback

Strategic Partnerships
- Parent, Family, & Community Services
- District Partnerships
- Post-secondary/Higher Education Access
- Workforce Preparation

Systemic Infrastructure

Effective Education Workforce
- Development of New Educators & Leaders
- Support for Practicing Educators & Leaders
- Equity Across the System

Putting Michigan on the map as a premier education state
Vision for Making Michigan a Top 10 State in 10 Years

When you talk to parents and/or the business community, and you ask them what they want—they all say:

In support of becoming a Top 10 state in 10 years, we want our students/employees to:

- Be curious
- Be problem solvers
- Be able to work independently and in teams
- Be able to communicate well
- Set and achieve goals
- Be critical thinkers

To do this, we need **CLASSROOMS** that create these types of learning opportunities; **EDUCATORS** who are prepared to support students in learning those skills; and **ASSESSMENTS** that measure whether or not those students are ready for success in those areas.
Key Components of Supports for Districts and Schools in Michigan’s ESSA Plan

High-Level Overview
Partnership Model

• Partnership districts are a concept/structure of support that is not required by ESSA, but one that aligns with key pieces of Michigan’s ESSA work. Michigan intends to use ESSA as one vehicle to support this work.

• Partnership districts are those with low academic performance, as well as other areas of need.

• The MDE will provide intensive supports to LEAs with at least one “F” school (as identified by the state’s accountability system) and may work with LEAs with “D” schools on an early warning basis.
Partnership Districts

• Partnership districts will:
  • Identify holistic needs using the whole-child comprehensive needs assessment; craft a plan with all partners at the table (the ISD, the board, tribal education departments, the education organizations, community organizations, foundations, other state agencies).
  • Include clear benchmarks for 90 days, 18 months, and three years.

• We want to move beyond labeling and into collective accountability and supports.

• The purpose of accountability is not to simply label schools or LEAs, but instead to drive supports to those most in need, and to hold all of us accountable for the outcomes of all of Michigan’s children. When schools are failing, we are all responsible for changing that situation.
Supports for Districts and Schools

- Using ESSA to focus on the whole child → revising our Comprehensive Needs Assessment (CNA)
- Driving districts toward evidence-based 10 in 10 practices, while allowing space for innovation
- More flexibility for districts, based on the results of the CNA
- Reduced reporting and administrative burden for districts
  - One comprehensive CNA that happens less frequently
  - Less frequent submission of school and district improvement plans
  - Revised and streamlined grant processes
Partnering with Researchers

• Creating the Michigan Education Research Initiative (MERI), a collaboration between University of Michigan and Michigan State University.

• MERI will partner with the state to:
  • Enact a strategic research agenda keyed to strategic goals (both by doing research directly and helping find other research partners) and
  • Handle data requests from researchers

• Want to leverage this collaboration and the work of MERI to find research partners to help establish evidence-base where needed for key practices.
Audience Q&A

To submit live questions, please use the “Questions” box on the control panel.

#ESSAEvidence  @VKeesler  @Mleducation  @AYPF_Tweets
Key Evidence-based Levers

- Key evidence-based levers for school improvement
  - Strong leadership
    - Leadership development, training, and support
  - Effective Instruction
    - Selecting, retaining, and supporting highly effective teachers
    - Development of high quality evidence-based curriculum and materials
  - Student Support
    - Strong family engagement
    - Safe and secure school and learning environment
    - Student physical and mental health
    - Community support
Self-Examination

- What research informs our practices as a department?
- What is our capacity to support the key levers?
- What changes must be made?
District Empowerment

- How can we empower districts to move from former practices that have not moved the achievement needle?
- How can we ensure districts have the capacity and resources to accept greater autonomy in selection, development, and implementation of evidence-based practices?
State Role

- Assist districts and schools in development of School Improvement Plan
  - Assist in analysis of school level data
  - Provide training in needs assessment and root cause analysis
  - Provide access to studies available through multiple clearinghouses and other relevant research
  - Support and monitor district’s implementation of plans

- Partner with RELs, regional comprehensive center and Tenn. Education Research Alliance (TERA)
Districts and schools in Tennessee will exemplify excellence and equity such that all students are equipped with the knowledge and skills to successfully embark upon their chosen path in life.
Citizens and agencies are encouraged to report fraud, waste, or abuse in State and Local government.

**NOTICE:** This agency is a recipient of taxpayer funding. If you observe an agency director or employee engaging in any activity which you consider to be illegal, improper or wasteful, please call the state Comptroller’s toll-free Hotline:

1-800-232-5454

Notifications can also be submitted electronically at:

http://www.comptroller.tn.gov/hotline
To submit live questions, please use the “Questions” box on the control panel.
Key Strategies, Practices, and Policy for Successful School Turnaround in Priority Schools
What works in Massachusetts?
Our turnaround practices

1. Leadership, shared responsibility, and professional collaboration
2. Intentional practices for improving instruction
3. Student-specific instruction and supports to all students
4. School climate and culture
Evidence of progress

• To date, 57% of MA turnaround schools have exited turnaround status.
• Many schools and districts use the state’s research-based turnaround practices as an anchor resource.
• The practices provide common language and consistent expectations statewide.

How did we get here?
Massachusetts context

• State law supported by School Improvement Grant (SIG)
• State education agency (SEA) turnaround office focused on building district capacity
• Agency research agenda tied to consistent, long-term progress monitoring of turnaround schools
Where did the turnaround practices come from?

- Quantitative analysis of impact on student outcomes
- Qualitative study of variation in implementation
Impact Study: Overall

ELA
Achievement Score Effect Sizes

Math
Achievement Score Effect Sizes

Equivalent to one additional year of schooling in both ELA and math after three years.

* p < .01, ** p < .005, *** p < .001
Impact study: Achievement gap

• SIG was associated with a **decrease in the achievement gap** for:
  
  o **English language learner** (ELL) students and non-ELL students in ELA and mathematics for all three years
  
  o Students who did and did not have **free or reduced-price lunch** status for all three years
  
  o Students with **special education** status and students without special education status in Years 2 and 3 in ELA and only in Year 2 in math
Implementation study

• Compared SIG schools that improved to those that did not improve

• Data sources
  – Annual monitoring site visits
  – Classroom observations using the CLASS
  – Interviews
  – Extant document review
  – Exited schools survey
Successful turnaround in action

Turnaround practices

1. **Leadership, shared responsibility, and professional collaboration**—The school has established a community of practice through leadership, shared responsibility, and professional collaboration.

2. **Intentional practices for improving instruction**—The school employs intentional practices for improving teacher-specific and student-responsive instruction.

3. **Student-specific instruction and supports to all students**—The school is able to provide student-specific supports and interventions informed by data and the identification of student-specific needs.

4. **School climate and culture**—The school has established a climate and culture that provide a safe, orderly, and respectful environment for students and a collegial, collaborative, and professional culture among teachers that supports the school’s focus on increasing student achievement.
Using the practices in practice

Aligned our state system of support to the turnaround practices by revising

- State assistance to drive schools to focus on what has been shown to work
- State turnaround plan requirements
- Turnaround school monitoring protocol
- School Improvement Grant (SIG) application and renewal processes

Developed tools and resources to support school and district implementation of the turnaround practices:

- Provided on-site targeted support
- Developed five videos of successful schools
- Developed a turnaround practices self-assessment
More information

- All turnaround practices resources can be found on the Massachusetts Executive Office of Education website:

To submit live questions, please use the “Questions” box on the control panel.
Key Resources

**Featured Resource Pages**

**Deeper Learning**
In December 2014, AYPF hosted a study tour to Boston, MA and Providence, RI to highlight two schools that are incorporating deeper learning practices.

**Competency-Based Education**
Want to know more about Competency-Based Learning?

**Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA)**
Learn more about AYPF’s work around the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA)

ESSA Resource Page:

http://www.aypf.org/resources/every-student-succeeds-act-essa-resource-page/
Contact Today’s Presenters

Carinne Deeds
Policy Associate
American Youth Policy Forum
CDeeds@aypf.org

Marty West
Associate Professor of Education
Harvard Graduate School of Education
Martin_West@gse.harvard.edu

Venessa Keesler
Deputy Superintendent
MI Department of Education
KeeslerV@michigan.gov

Karen Ruple
Manager, MI Excel Statewide System of Support
MI Department of Education
RupleK@michigan.gov

Nate Schwartz
Chief Research and Strategy Officer
TN Department of Education
Nate.Schwartz@tn.gov

Rita Fentress
Director of School Improvement
TN Department of Education
Rita.Fentress@tn.gov

Carrie Conaway
Chief Strategy and Research Officer
MA Dept. of Elementary and Secondary Education
CConaway@doe.mass.edu

Russell Johnston
Senior Associate Commissioner
MA Dept. of Elementary and Secondary Education
RJohnston@doe.mass.edu

#ESSAEvidence
Thanks for Attending!

- Please fill out the survey upon exiting the webinar
- Materials and recording will be posted on our website: www.aypf.org